IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 1 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 375 OF 2004

SHRI EVARISTO PINTO(SIN.DEC.) THROUGH L.RS., ...Applicants

Versus

SHRI RAGHUNATH J.SARDESSAI(SIN.DEC.) THROUGH L.RS. ....Respondents

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. N. N. Sardessai, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 6

Coram:- V.C.DAGA, J.

Date:- 2nd August, 2006

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for the applicants, who is seeking review of the order dated 21/11/2005. It is not necessary to review this order. The only small clarification will take care of the apprehension of the review petitioner; that while appreciating and considering the affidavit tendered by way of evidence by the power of attorney holder, the trial Court shall take into account the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of Janki Vashdeo Bhojwani and anr. vs. Indusind Bank Ltd. and ors., 2004 AIR SCW 7064.

It would be open for the parties to address the trial Court as to in respect of which acts the power of attorney holder could depose. The review petition with this clarification stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

V.C.DAGA, J.

ssm.